

The Core Values should be the lens through which you watch the team's presentations. All team members should demonstrate the Core Values in everything they do. This rubric should be used to record the Core Values observed thoughout the judging session. Core Values will also be evaluated at each Robot Game with *Gracious Professionalism®* scores, which will feed into a team's overall Core

Instructions

Values rank.

### **Core Values**

Team #	Team Name		Judging Room	
If the team is a cand	idate for on	e of these awards, please tick the appropriate box:		
Breakthrough Award		A team that made significant progress in their confidence and capability and who understand that what they discover is more important than what they win.		
Rising All-Star		A team that the judges notice and expect great things from in the future.		
Motivate		A team that embraces the culture of $FIRST^{\circ}$ LEGO $^{\circ}$ League through team building, team spirit, and displayed enthusiasm.		

BEGINNING Minimally observed across the team.	DEVELOPING Inconsistently observed across the team.	ACCOMPLISHED Consistently observed across the team.	EXCEEDS	
1	2	3	4	How has the team exceeded?
DISCOVERY – Team ex	xplored new skills and ideas.			
INNOVATION – Team u	used creativity and persistence	e to solve problems.		
IMPACT – Team applied				
INCLUSION – Team demonstrated respect and embraced their differences.				
TEAMWORK – Team clearly showed they had worked as a team throughout their journey.				
FUN – Teams clearly had fun and celebrated what they have achieved.				

**Feedback Comments** 

Great Job:	•	Think About:
Great Joo	•	I DIDK ADOU
Croat cob.		Timin, toodt.



# **Innovation Project**

Team #	Team Name	Judging Room

#### Instructions

Teams should communicate to the judges their achievement in each of the following criteria.

This rubric should be filled out during the Innovation Project presentation.

Judges are required to tick one box on each separate line to indicate the level the team has achieved. If the team exceeds, please make a short comment in the Exceeds box.

BEGINNING 1	DEVELOPING 2	ACCOMPLISHED 3	EXCEEDS 4			
			How has the team exceeded?			
IDENTIFY – Team had a clearly d	IDENTIFY – Team had a clearly defined problem that was well researched.					
Problem not clearly defined	Partially clear definition of the problem	Clear definition of the problem				
Minimal research	Partial research from more than one source	Clear, detailed research from a variety of sources				
<b>DESIGN</b> – Team generated innova	ative ideas independently before select	ing and planning which one to develop	).			
Minimal evidence of an inclusive selection process	Partial evidence of an inclusive selection process	Clear evidence of an inclusive selection process				
Minimal evidence of an effective plan	Partial evidence of an effective plan	Clear evidence of an effective plan				
CREATE – Team developed an or	iginal idea or built on an existing one w	vith a prototype model/drawing to repre	esent their solution.			
Minimal development of innovative solution	Partial development of innovative solution	Clear development of innovative solution				
Unclear model/drawing of solution	Simple model/drawing that helps to share the solution	Detailed model/drawing that helps to share the solution				
ITERATE – Team shared their ideas, collected feedback, and included improvements in their solution.						
Minimal sharing of their solution	Shared their solution with user OR professional	Shared their solution with user AND professional				
Minimal evidence of improvements in their solution	Partial evidence of improvements in their solution	Clear evidence of improvements in their solution				
COMMUNICATE – Team shared a creative and effective presentation of their current solution and its impact on their users.						
Presentation minimally engaging	Presentation partially engaging	Presentation engaging				
Solution and its potential impact on others unclear	Solution and its potential impact on others partially clear	Solution and its potential impact on others clear				

**Feedback Comments** 

Great Job:	•	Think About:



## **Robot Design**

Team #	Team Name	Judging Room

#### Instructions

 $\label{thm:communicate} Teams \ should \ communicate \ to \ the \ judges \ their \ achievement \ in \ each \ of \ the \ following \ criteria.$ 

This rubric should be filled out during the Robot Design explanation.

Judges are required to tick one box on each separate line to indicate the level the team has achieved. If the team exceeds, please make a short comment in the Exceeds box.

BEGINNING 1	DEVELOPING 2	ACCOMPLISHED 3	EXCEEDS 4		
			How has the team exceeded?		
IDENTIFY – Team had a clearly defined mission strategy and explored building and coding skills they needed.					
Unclear mission strategy	Partially clear mission strategy	Clear mission strategy			
Limited evidence of building and coding skills in all team members	Inconsistent evidence of building and coding skills in all team members	Consistent evidence of building and coding skills in all team members			
<b>DESIGN</b> – Team produced innova	tive designs and a clear workplan, see	king guidance as needed.			
Minimal evidence of an effective plan	Partial evidence of an effective plan	Clear evidence of an effective plan			
Minimal explanation of robot and code's innovative features	Partial explanation of robot and code's innovative features	Clear explanation of robot and code's innovative features			
CREATE – Team developed an ef	fective robot and code solution matchin	ng their mission strategy.			
Limited explanation of their robot and its attachment and sensor functionality	Simple explanation of their robot and its attachment and sensor functionality	Detailed explanation of their robot and its attachment and sensor functionality			
Unclear explanation of how code makes their robot act	Partially clear explanation of how code makes their robot act	Clear explanation of how code makes their robot act			
ITERATE – Team repeatedly tested their robot and code to identify areas for improvement and incorporated the findings into their current solution.					
Minimal evidence of testing their robot and code	Partial evidence of testing their robot and code	Clear evidence of testing their robot and code			
Minimal evidence their robot and code was improved	Partial evidence their robot and code was improved	Clear evidence their robot and code was improved			
COMMUNICATE – Team's explanation of the robot design process was effective and showed how all team members have been involved.					
Unclear explanation of robot design process	Partially clear explanation of robot design process	Clear explanation of robot design process			
Minimal evidence that all team members were involved	Partial evidence that all team members were involved	Clear evidence that all team members were involved			

**Feedback Comments** 

Great Job: Think About: